arXiv:1002.0620v1 [math.AP] 3 Feb 2010

Gradient estimate of a Dirichlet eigenfunction on a compact manifold with boundary

Yiqian Shi* and Bin Xu*†

Abstract. Let $e_\lambda(x)$ be an eigenfunction with respect to the Dirichlet Laplacian Δ_N on a compact Riemannian manifold N with boundary: $\Delta_N e_\lambda = \lambda^2 e_\lambda$ in the interior of N and $e_\lambda = 0$ on the boundary of N. We show the following gradient estimate of e_λ: for every $\lambda \geq 1$, there holds $\lambda\|e_\lambda\|_\infty/C \leq \|\nabla e_\lambda\|_\infty \leq C\lambda\|e_\lambda\|_\infty$, where C is a positive constant depending only on N. In the proof, we use a basic geometrical property of nodal sets of eigenfunctions and elliptic apriori estimates.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): Primary 35P20; Secondary 35J05
Key Words: Dirichlet Laplacian, eigenfunction, gradient estimate

1 Introduction

Let (N, g) be an n-dimensional compact smooth Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary ∂N and Δ_N the positive Dirichlet Laplacian on N. Let $L^2(N)$ be the space of square integrable functions on N with respect to the Riemannian density $dv(N) = \sqrt{g(x)}\,dx := \sqrt{\det\,(g_{ij})}\,dx$. Let $e_1(x), e_2(x), \cdots$ be a complete orthonormal basis in $L^2(N)$ for the Dirichlet eigenfunctions of Δ_N such that $0 < \lambda_1^2 < \lambda_2^2 \leq \lambda_3^2 \leq \cdots$ for the corresponding eigenvalues, where $e_j(x)$ $(j = 1, 2, \dots)$ are real valued smooth function on N and λ_j are positive numbers. Also, let $\mathbf{e}_j$ denote the projection of $L^2(N)$ onto the 1-dimensional space $\mathbf{C}e_j$. Thus , an L^2 function f can be written as $f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{e}_j(f)$, where the partial sum converges in the L^2 norm. Let λ be a positive real number ≥ 1. We define the spectral function and the unit band spectral projection operator χ_λ as follows:

$$e(x, y, \lambda) := \sum_{\lambda_j \leq \lambda} e_j(x)\, e_j(y),$$

$$\chi_\lambda f := \sum_{\lambda_j \in (\lambda, \lambda+1]} \mathbf{e}_j(f)\ .$$

* Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026 China.
† E-mail of the correspondent author: bxu@ustc.edu.cn

Grieser [5] and Sogge [13] proved the L^∞ estimate of χ_λ,

$$\|\chi_\lambda f\|_\infty \le C\lambda^{(n-1)/2}\|f\|_2 \tag{1}$$

where $\|f\|_r$ $(1 \le r \le \infty)$ means the L^r norm of the function f on N. In the whole of this paper C denotes a positive constant which depends only on N and may take different values at different places, if there is no otherwise stated. The idea of Grieser and Sogge is to use the standard wave kernel method outside a boundary layer of width $C\lambda^{-1}$ and a maximum principle argument inside that layer. By using the maximum principle argument and the estimate (1), Xu [15] proved the gradient estimate of χ_λ

$$\|\nabla\chi_\lambda f\|_\infty \le C\lambda^{(n+1)/2}\|f\|_2 \ . \tag{2}$$

Here ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on N. In particular, $\nabla f = \sum_j g^{ij}\partial f/\partial x_j$ is the gradient vector field of a C^1 function f, the square of whose length equals $\sum_{i,j} g^{ij}(\partial f/\partial x_i)(\partial f/\partial x_j)$. One of his motivation is to prove the Hörmander multiplier theorem on compact manifolds with boundary. Seeger and Sogge [11] firstly proved that theorem by using the parametrix of the wave kernel on manifolds without boundary. All the results mentioned in the introduction have their analog on compact manifolds without boundary. See the details in the introduction of Shi-Xu [12] and the references therein. In general, the method used in manifolds without boundary is not valid for the problems on manifolds with boundary. In particular, on manifolds with boundary the Hörmander multiplier theorem cannot be obtained by the standard pseudo-differential operator calculus as done on manifolds without boundary, since the square root of the Dirichlet Laplaican is not a pseudo-differential operator any more and one cannot obtain the L^∞ bounds for χ_λ and $\nabla\chi_\lambda$ only by using the Hadamard parametrix of the wave kernel.

In the paper, by rescaling $\chi_\lambda f$ at the scale of λ^{-1} both outside and inside the boundary layer of width $C\lambda^{-1}$, we obtain by elliptic apriori estimates a slightly stronger estimate than (2) as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let f be a square integrable function on N. Then, for every $\lambda \ge 1$, there holds*

$$\|\nabla\chi_\lambda f\|_\infty \le C\left(\lambda\|\chi_\lambda f\|_\infty + \lambda^{-1}\|\Delta_N\chi_\lambda f\|_\infty\right). \tag{3}$$

Remark 1.1. Putting $f(\cdot) = \sum_{\lambda_j\in(\lambda,\lambda+1]} e_j(x)e_j(\cdot)$ in (1), we obtain the uniform estimate of eigenfunctions for all $x \in N$,

$$\sum_{\lambda_j\in(\lambda,\lambda+1]} |e_j(x)|^2 \le C\lambda^{n-1}.$$

Actually it can be proved that those two estimates are equivalent. By using the Cauchy-Scahwarz inequality, we obtain the gradient estimate (2) from Theorem 1 together with the above inequality. Similarly, that estimate is equivalent to the uniform estimate for all $x \in N$,

$$\sum_{\lambda_j \in (\lambda, \lambda+1]} |\nabla e_j(x)|^2 \leq C\lambda^{n-1}. \tag{4}$$

Remark 1.2. By the finite propagation speed of the wave equation, the asymptotic formula of derivatives of the spectral function $e(x, y, \lambda)$ in Theorem 1 [14] which is proved by the standard wave kernel method, also holds for each interior point x of N. Much more general asymptotic formulae are given in Theorems 1.8.5 and 1.8.7 of Safarov-Vassiliev [10]. In particular, we have the following asymptotic formula that as $\lambda \to \infty$

$$\sum_{\lambda_j \leq \lambda} |\nabla e_j(x)|^2 = \frac{n\lambda^{n+2}}{2(4\pi)^{n/2}\Gamma(2+\frac{n}{2})} + O_x(\lambda^{n+1}),$$

where the constant in the reminder term $O_x(\lambda^{n+1})$ depends on the distance of x to the boundary of N. Hence, the exponents of λ in estimates (2) and (4) are sharp at x as $\lambda \to \infty$. For each point z on the boundary of N, Ozawa [9] used the heat kernel method to show the asymptotic formula that as $\lambda \to \infty$,

$$\sum_{\lambda_j \leq \lambda} \left| \frac{\partial e_j}{\partial \nu}(z) \right|^2 = \frac{\lambda^{n+2}}{(4\pi)^{n/2}\Gamma(2+\frac{n}{2})} + o(\lambda^{n+2}),$$

where ν is the unit outer normal vector field on the boundary of N. Hence, (2) and (4) are also sharp on the boundary.

Corollary 1.1. *Let $e_\lambda(x)$ be an eigenfunction with respect to the positive Dirichlet Laplacian Δ_N on N: $\Delta_M e_\lambda = \lambda^2 e_\lambda$ in the interior of N and $e_\lambda = 0$ on the boundary of N. Then, for every $\lambda \geq 1$, there holds the upper bound estimate of ∇e_λ :*

$$\|\nabla e_\lambda\|_\infty \leq C\lambda \|e_\lambda\|_\infty.$$

Proof. Putting $f = e_\lambda$ in the estimate (3), we obtain the corollary. □

Actually, by the basic geometric property of nodal sets of an eigenfunction, we can find a complete picture for the L^∞ norm of ∇e_λ in the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $e_\lambda(x)$ be an eigenfunction with respect to the Dirichlet Laplacian operator Δ_N on N without boundary: $\Delta_N e_\lambda = \lambda^2 e_\lambda$. Then, for every $\lambda \geq 1$, there holds*

$$\lambda \|e_\lambda\|_\infty / C \leq \|\nabla e_\lambda\|_\infty \leq C\lambda \|e_\lambda\|_\infty. \tag{5}$$

Remark 1.3. The authors [12] proved the analog of Theorems 1 and 2 on compact manifolds without boundary. The proof in this paper is more complicated than there because we need to do analysis at points near the boundary. We believe that there also hold the analog for k-covariant derivatives $\nabla^k \chi_\lambda f$ and $\nabla^k e_\lambda$ on N. We plan to discuss this question in a future paper.

Remark 1.4. Let ψ_j be the normal derivative of e_j at the boundary ∂N of N. The lower bound estimate

$$\|\psi_j\|_{L^\infty(\partial N)} \geq C\|e_j\|_{L^\infty(N)}$$

does not hold in general. Using Examples 3-5 in Hassell-Tao [6] and doing a little bit more computations, we can see that the above estimate does not hold on the flat cylinder, the hemisphere and the spherical cylinder. We hope to find a sufficient condition for the lower bound estimate in a future work.

We conclude the introduction by explaining the organization of this paper. In Section 2, we show the lower bound of the gradient ∇e_λ by the basic geometrical property of the nodal set of eigenfunctions. In Section 3 we use the rescaling method and the Hölder estimate about elliptic PDEs to show (3) and the upper bound part of (5). The point is to do the rescaling both outside and inside the boundary layer of width $C\lambda^{-1}$.

2 Lower bound of ∇e_λ

The nodal set of an eigenfunction e_λ of Δ_N is the zero set

$$Z_{e_\lambda} := \{x \in N : e_\lambda(x) = 0\}.$$

A connected component of the open set $N \backslash Z_{e_\lambda}$ is called a nodal domain of the eigenfunction e_λ. We have the same definition for manifolds without boundary.

Lemma 2.1. (Brüning [1]) *Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary. Let $\lambda \geq 1$ and e_λ be an eigenfunction of the positive Laplacian Δ_M: $\Delta_M e_\lambda = \lambda^2 e_\lambda$. Then there exists a constant C only depending on M such that each geodesic ball of radius C/λ in M must intersect the nodal set Z_{e_λ} of e_λ.*

Proof. A proof written in English is given by Zelditch in pp. 579-580 of [16]. □

We need a manifold-with-boundary version of Lemma 1 as follows:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\lambda > 0$ and λ^2 be greater than the smallest eigenvalue λ_1^2 of the Dirichlet Laplacian Δ_N. Let e_λ be an eigenfunction of Δ_N: $\Delta_N e_\lambda = \lambda^2 e_\lambda$ in the interior $\mathrm{Int}(N)$ of N and $e_\lambda = 0$ on the boundary ∂N of N. Then there exists a positive constant D only depending on N such that each geodesic ball of radius D/λ contained in $\mathrm{Int}(N)$ must intersect the nodal set Z_{e_λ} of e_λ.*

Proof. We here adapt the proof of Zelditch [16] with a slight modification.

Step 1 We show the following fact: There exists a constant C such that for each interior point p of N and each positive number $r > 0$ satisfying that the distance $d(p, \partial N) < r$ from p to ∂N is less than r, the smallest Dirichlet eigenvalue $\lambda_1^2\big(B(p, r)\big)$ of the geodesic ball $B(x, r)$ is bounded from above by C/r^2. Since $d(p, \partial N) > r$, we may assume that there exists a geodesic normal coordinate chart $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ on the ball $B(p, r)$. Let g be the Riemannian metric of N on $B(p, r)$ with coefficients $g_{ij} = g(\partial/\partial x_i, \partial/\partial x_j)$ and g_0 be the Euclidean metric $dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_n^2$ on $B(x, r)$. Take $0 < c_1 < 1$ depending only on N so that the Euclidean ball $B(p, c_1 r; g_0)$ is contained in the metric ball $B(p, r; g)$ of N. Since $c_1 < 1$, by the definition of Rayleigh quotient,

$$\lambda_1^2\big(B(p, r; g)\big) \le \lambda_1^2\big(B(p, c_1 r; g)\big).$$

Since N is compact, by comparing Rayleigh quotients, there exists $c_2 > 0$ depending only on N such that

$$\lambda_1^2\big(B(p, c_1 r; g)\big) \le c_2 \lambda_1^2\big(B(p, c_1 r; g_0)\big).$$

On the other hand, by change of variables, we have

$$\lambda_1^2\big(B(p, c_1 r; g_0)\big) = \frac{\lambda_1^2\big(B(p, 1; g_0)\big)}{(c_1 r)^2}.$$

Combining the above three inequalities and setting $C = c_2 c_1^{-2} \lambda_1^2\big(B(p, 1; g_0)\big)$, we complete the proof.

Step 2 Take a geodesic ball $B(p, r)$ in N such that $d(p, \partial N) > r$. Suppose that it is disjoint from the nodal set Z_{e_λ}. Then it is completely contained in a nodal domain D_j of e_λ. But $\lambda^2 = \lambda_1^2(D_j) \le \lambda_1^2\big(B(p, r)\big) \le C/r^2$. Hence, $r \le \sqrt{C}\lambda$. Taking $D = 2\sqrt{C}$, we complete the proof. □

Proof of the lower bound part of Theorem 2 Take a point x in N such that $|e_\lambda(x)| = \|e_\lambda\|_\infty$. By the Dirichlet boundary condition, the distance d from x to ∂N is positive.

Case 1 Assume $d > D/\lambda$. Then there exists point y in the geodesic ball $B(x, D/\lambda)$ with center x and radius D/λ such that $e_\lambda(y) = 0$. We may assume λ so large that there exists a geodesic normal chart $(r, \theta) \in [0, D/\lambda] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(1)$ in the ball $B(x, D/\lambda)$. By the mean value theorem, there exists a point z on the geodesic segment connecting x and y such that

$$\left|\frac{\partial e_\lambda}{\partial r}(z)\right| \geq \frac{\lambda}{D}|e_\lambda(x)| = \frac{\lambda}{D}\|e_\lambda\|_\infty.$$

Case 2 Assume $d \leq D/\lambda$. We may assume λ so large that there exists a unique geodesic $\gamma : [0, d] \to N$ of arc length parameter connecting x and ∂N,

$$\gamma(0) = x, \quad \gamma(d) \in \partial N.$$

Since $e_\lambda(\gamma(d)) = 0$, by the mean value theorem, there exists t_0 in $(0, d)$ such that

$$\left|\frac{de_\lambda(\gamma(t))}{dt}(t_0)\right| = \frac{|e_\lambda(x)|}{d} \geq \frac{\lambda}{D}\|e_\lambda\|_\infty.$$

□

3 Estimate for $\nabla\chi_\lambda f$

3.1 Outside the boundary layer

Recall the principle: *On a small scale comparable to the wavelength* $1/\lambda$*, the eigenfunction* e_λ *behaves like a harmonic function.* It was developed in H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman [2] [3] and N. S. Nadirashvili [8] and was used extensively there. Recently Mangoubi [7] applied this principle to studying the geometry of nodal domains of eigenfunctions. In this section, for a square integrable function f on N we give a modification of this principle, which can be applied to the Poisson equation

$$\Delta_N \chi_\lambda f = \sum_{\lambda_j \in (\lambda, \lambda+1]} \lambda_j^2 e_j(f) \quad \text{in} \quad \text{Int}(N)$$

with the Dirichlet boundary condition $\chi_\lambda f = 0$ on ∂N. In particular, in this subsection, we do the analysis outside the boundary layer $L_{1/\lambda} = \{z \in N : d(z, \partial N) \leq 1/\lambda\}$ of width $1/\lambda$.

Take an arbitrary point p with $d(p, \partial N) \geq 1/\lambda$. We may assume that $1/\lambda$ is sufficiently small such that there exists a geodesic normal coordinate chart $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ on the geodesic ball $B(p, 2/\lambda)$ in N. In this chart, we may identify the ball $B(p, 2/\lambda)$ with the n-dimensional Euclidean ball $\mathbb{B}(2/\lambda)$ centered at the origin 0, and think of the function $\chi_\lambda f$ in $B(p, 2/\lambda)$ as a function in $\mathbb{B}(2/\lambda)$. Our aim in this subsection is to show the inequality

$$|(\nabla\chi_\lambda f)(p)| \leq C\left(\lambda\|\chi_\lambda f\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}(2/\lambda)\right)} + \lambda^{-1}\|\Delta_N \chi_\lambda f\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}(2/\lambda)\right)}\right). \tag{6}$$

For simplicity of notions, we rewrite $u = \chi_\lambda f$ and $v = \Delta_N \chi_\lambda f$ in what follows. The Poisson equation satisfied by u in $\mathbb{B}(1/\lambda)$ can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \sum_{i,j} \partial_{x_i} \left(g^{ij} \sqrt{g} \partial_{x_j} u \right) = v.$$

Consider the rescaled functions $u_\lambda(y) = u(y/\lambda)$ and $v_\lambda(y) = v(y/\lambda)$ in the ball $\mathbb{B}(2)$. The above estimate we want to prove is equivalent to its rescaled version

$$|(\nabla u_\lambda)(0)| \le C \left(\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}(2)\right)} + \lambda^{-2} \|v_\lambda\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}(2)\right)} \right). \tag{7}$$

On the other hand, the rescaled version of the Poisson equation has the expression,

$$\sum_{i,j} \partial_{y_i} \left(g_\lambda^{ij} \sqrt{g_\lambda} \partial_{y_j} u_\lambda \right) = -\lambda^{-2} \sqrt{g_\lambda} v_\lambda, \tag{8}$$

where $g_{ij,\lambda}(y) = g_{ij}(y/\lambda)$, $g_\lambda^{ij}(y) = g^{ij}(y/\lambda)$ and $\sqrt{g_r}(y) = (\sqrt{g})(y/\lambda)$.

For each $0 < \alpha < 1$, there exists $K > 0$ such that the C^α norm of the coefficients $g_\lambda^{ij}\sqrt{g_\lambda}$, $\sqrt{g_\lambda}$ in $\mathbb{B}(2)$ are bounded uniformly from above by K, and the smallest eigenvalue of the $n \times n$ matrix $(g_\lambda^{ij}\sqrt{g_\lambda})_{ij}$ in $\mathbb{B}(2)$ bounds from below by $1/K$, for all $\lambda \ge 1$. By Theorem 8.32 in page 210 of Gilbarg-Trudinger [4], there exists constant $C = C(n, \alpha, K)$ such that

$$\|u_\lambda\|_{C^{1,\alpha}\left(\mathbb{B}(1)\right)} \le C \left(\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}(2)\right)} + \lambda^{-2} \|v_\lambda\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}(2)\right)} \right),$$

This is stronger than the estimate (7). Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 outside the boundary layer L_λ.

3.2 Inside the boundary layer

Using the notions in subsection 3.1, We are going to prove the following estimate:

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(L_{1/\lambda})} \le C \left(\lambda \|u\|_\infty + \lambda^{-1} \|v\|_\infty \right), \tag{9}$$

with which combining (6) completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

We may assume that λ is sufficiently large so that there exists a geodesic normal coordinate chart (z', z_n) on the boundary layer $L_{3/\lambda} = \{p \in N : d(p, \partial N) \le 3/\lambda\}$ with respect to the boundary ∂N. Hence, for each point $(z', z_n) \in L_{3/\lambda}$, we have $0 \le z_n \le 3/\lambda$ and

$$d\big((z', z_n), \partial N\big) = z_n.$$

For each point $q \in \partial N$ and $r > 0$, denote by $B_+(q, r)$ the set of points of N with distance less than r to q. Denote by $\mathbb{B}_+(r)$ the upper half Euclidean ball

$$\{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x| < r, x_n \ge 0\}$$

centered at the origin and with radius r. Then, for each $q \in \partial N$, there exists a geodesic normal chart on $B_+(q, 3/\lambda)$ such that the exponential map $\exp_q$ at q gives a diffeomorphism from $\mathbb{B}_+(3/\lambda)$ onto $B_+(q, 3/\lambda)$.

Since $\{B_+(q, 2/\lambda) : q \in \partial N\}$ forms an open cover of $L_{1/\lambda}$, the question can be reduced to showing the analog of (9) on $B_+(q, 2/\lambda)$ for each q. We only need to prove its equivalent rescaled version,

$$\|\nabla u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}_+(2)\right)} \leq C\left(\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}_+(3)\right)} + \lambda^{-2}\|v_\lambda\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}_+(3)\right)}\right), \tag{10}$$

where u_λ and v_λ are the the rescaling function of u an v, respectively. Observe that u_λ and v_λ satisfy the Poisson equation (8) in the upper half Euclidean ball $\mathbb{B}(3)$ and the Dirichlet boundary condition,

$$u_\lambda = 0 \quad \text{on the portion} \quad \{x \in \mathbb{B}(3) : x_n = 0\}$$

of the boundary of $\mathbb{B}_+(3)$. For each $0 < \alpha < 1$, there exists $K > 0$ such that the C^α norm of the coefficients $g_\lambda^{ij}\sqrt{g_\lambda}$, $\sqrt{g_\lambda}$ in $\mathbb{B}_+(3)$ are bounded uniformly from above by K, and the smallest eigenvalue of the $n \times n$ matrix $(g_\lambda^{ij}\sqrt{g_\lambda})_{ij}$ in $\mathbb{B}_+(3)$ bounds from below by $1/K$, for all $\lambda \geq 1$. By Theorem 8.36 in page 212 of Gilbarg-Trudinger [4], there exists constant $C = C(n, \alpha, K)$ such that

$$\|u_\lambda\|_{C^{1,\alpha}\left(\mathbb{B}_+(2)\right)} \leq C\left(\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}_+(3)\right)} + \lambda^{-2}\|v_\lambda\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}_+(3)\right)}\right).$$

This is a stronger estimate than (10).

Acknowledgements Yiqian Shi is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10671096 and No. 10971104) and Bin Xu by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10601053 and No. 10871184).

References

[1] Brüning, J: Über knoten von eigenfunktionen des Laplace-Beltrami operators. *Math. Z.* **158**, 15-21 (1975)

[2] Donnelly, H., Fefferman, C.: Nodal sets of eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds. *Invent. Math.* **93**(1), 161-183 (1988)

[3] Donnelly, H., Fefferman, C.: Growth and geometry of eigenfunctions of the Laplacian. *Analysis and partial differential equations,* Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 122, pp. 635-655. Dekker, NY (1990)

[4] Gilbarg, D., Trudinger, Neil S.: *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order.* Reprint of the 1998 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2001)

[5] Grieser, D.: Uniform bounds for eigenfunctions of the laplacian on manifolds with boundary. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **27**(7-8), 1283-1299 (2002)

[6] Hassell, A., Tao, T.: Upper and lower bounds for normal derivatives of Dirichlet eigenfunctions. Math. Res. Lett. **9**, 289-305 (2002)

[7] Mangoubi, D.: Local asymmetry and the inner radius of nodal domain, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations.* **33**(7-9), 1611–1621 (2008)

[8] Nadirashvili, N. S.: Metric properties of eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator on manifolds. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **41**(1), 259-265 (1991)

[9] Ozawa, S.: Asymptotic property of eigenfunction of the Laplacian at the boundary. *Osaka J. Math.* **30**, 303-314 (1993)

[10] Safarov, Yu., Vassiliev, D.: *The Asymptotic Distribution of Eigenvalues of Partial Differential Operators.* Translations of Mathematical Monograph, **155**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1997)

[11] Seeger, A., Sogge, C. D.: On the boundedness of functions of pseudo-differential operators on a compact manifolds. *Duke Math. J.* **59**, 709-736 (1989)

[12] Shi, Y.-Q., Xu, B.: Gradient estimate of an eigenfunction on a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary. To appear in Anal. Glob. Anal. Geom.

[13] Sogge, C. D.: Eigenfunction and Bochner-Riesz estimates on manifolds with boundary. *Mathematical Research Letters,* **9**, 205-216 (2002)

[14] Xu, B.: Derivatives of the spectral function and Sobolev norms of eigenfunctions on a closed Riemannian manifold. *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* **26**(3), 231-252 (2004)

[15] Xu, X.: Gradient estimates for eigenfunctions of compact manifolds with boundary and the Hörmander multiplier theorem. *Forum Mathematicum* **21**(3), 455-476 (2009)

[16] Zelditch, S.: Local and global analysis of eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds, In: *Handbook of geometric analysis*, no. 1, 545-658, Adv. Lect. Math. vol. 7. Int. Press, Somerville, MA (2008)